IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 5228 of 1992

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE R.K.ABICHANDANI

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : YES

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

ARVINDABEN DINESHCHANDRA HATADIA

Versus

BARODA MUNICIPAL CORP.

Appearance:

MR MUKESH R SHAH for Petitioner

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE R.K.ABICHANDANI

Date of decision: 24/08/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. The only grievance that has been raised by the petitioner is that, she was being denied the benefit of the Circular dated 10-10-1990, because she could not give her option within one month, as the said circular was not brought to her notice.

2. It appears that the petitioner had made representations dated 15-10-1991 and 9-12-1991 to the Corporation authorities in this regard. If the circular was not brought to the notice of the petitioner, she had a valid grievance to make. It would be therefore appropriate for the respondent authority to consider her representations dated 15-10-1991 and 9-12-1991 at Annexure-B and C to the petition, and take an appropriate decision in the matter in accordance with law, within two months from the date of receipt of the writ of this order. Direction is issued accordingly. Rule is made absolute in these terms, with no order as to cost.

Dt: 24-8-2000 (R.K. Abichandani, J)

/vgn